

M e m o r a n d u m

Date: June 25, 2010

To: Office of Inspections

From: **DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL**
Central Division

File No.: 401.ASU.17185.FISMAResponse

Subject: CENTRAL DIVISION'S RESPONSE TO 2008 AND 2009 CHAPTER
INSPECTIONS

In accordance with the memorandum distributed on May 28, 2010, by Assistant Commissioner Santiago, Central Division has compiled the requested information regarding the 2008 and 2009 chapter inspections. As requested, the status report of the corrective actions undertaken as a result of the findings of the three inspection audits (Chapter 2-2008, Chapter 6-2009, and Chapter 8-2009), is found below.

Chapter 2-Command Evidence 2008 Inspection

Central Division—Corrective actions have been completed.

Bakersfield—One issue is still active: The wooden pass-through lockers have not been modified, however, the funds have been acquired and the modification is scheduled to be completed by September 1, 2010.

Grapevine Inspection Facility—No corrective actions required.

Sonora—Corrective actions have been completed.

Buttonwillow—Corrective actions have been completed.

Fort Tejon—Corrective actions have been completed.

Fresno—One issue is still active: The hinges to the exterior door are still located on the outside of the evidence room door. Additional security measures have been implemented to prevent removal of the door even if the hinges were compromised. The Facilities Section and DCS have been contacted regarding switching the hinges on the door but no action has been undertaken.

Hanford—Corrective actions have been completed.

Madera—Four issues are still active: 1.) Facilities Section has been contacted regarding the inadequate exhaust fan in the evidence room. Area is waiting for a response from Facilities Section. 2.) The Madera Area Evidence Officer is working in conjunction with the Madera County District Attorney's office to purge unnecessary evidence. This is a slow process due to an arson fire in 2001 which destroyed all of the court records. 3.) The Madera Area does not currently have a deadbolt on their evidence room door. However, the Facilities Section has assured the Area the current electronic keyless entry system, alarms, and video cameras are superior to a deadbolt. 4.) The Area is currently

Safety, Service, and Security

coordinating with Facilities Section for replacement or updating of the pass-through temporary lockers.

Mariposa—One issue is still active: Evidence #E20060022 will be maintained in evidence at the request of the property owner's mother/agent. The property owner continues to reside in state prison.

Oakhurst—Corrective actions have been completed.

Merced—No corrective actions required.

Los Banos—Corrective actions have been completed.

Chowchilla River Inspection Facility—No corrective actions required.

Modesto—No corrective actions required.

Visalia—No corrective actions required.

Porterville—Corrective actions have been completed.

Coalinga—Corrective actions have been completed.

Chapter 6-Command Overtime and Grant Management 2009 Inspection

Central Division—No corrective actions required.

Bakersfield—No corrective actions required.

Grapevine Inspection Facility—No corrective actions required.

Sonora—No corrective actions required.

Buttonwillow—No corrective actions required.

Fort Tejon—No corrective actions required.

Fresno—No corrective actions required.

Hanford—No corrective actions required.

Madera—No corrective actions required.

Mariposa—No corrective actions required.

Oakhurst—No corrective actions required.

Merced—No corrective actions required.

Los Banos—No corrective actions required.

Chowchilla River Inspection Facility—No corrective actions required.

Modesto—No corrective actions required.

Visalia—No corrective actions required.

Porterville—No corrective actions required.

Coalinga—No corrective actions required.

Chapter 8-Command Reimbursable Services and DUI Cost Recovery 2009 Inspection

Central Division—No corrective actions required.

Bakersfield—Corrective actions have been completed.

Grapevine Inspection Facility—No corrective actions required.

Sonora—No corrective actions required.

Buttonwillow—No corrective actions required.

Fort Tejon—No corrective actions required.

Office of Inspections

Page 3

June 25, 2010

Fresno—No corrective actions required.

Hanford—No corrective actions required.

Madera—No corrective actions required.

Mariposa—No corrective actions required.

Oakhurst—No corrective actions required.

Merced—Corrective actions have been completed.

Los Banos—Corrective actions have been completed.


Chowchilla River Inspection Facility—No corrective actions required.

Modesto—Corrective actions have been completed.

Visalia—No corrective actions required.

Porterville—No corrective actions required.

Coalinga—No corrective actions required.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. R. Abrames", with a large, stylized loop at the beginning.

J. R. ABRAMES, Chief

M e m o r a n d u m

Date: May 28, 2010

To: Central Division

Attention: Chief J. R. Abrames

From: **DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL**
Office of the Assistant Commissioner, Inspector General

File No.: 005.9968.A13709.010

Subject: REQUEST FOR 2008 AND 2009 INSPECTION FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION
FOR THE 2009 FISMA FOLLOW-UP REPORT

In 2009, the California Highway Patrol (Department) submitted its Financial Integrity and State Manager's Accountability Act (FISMA) report to the Department of Finance (DOF) in accordance with Government Code Section 13905(a). As part of the required report, the results of Division inspections were noted in the Department's FISMA report submitted to DOF. The Department is required to provide a status report of the corrective action every six months until all corrections are completed in accordance with Government Code Section 13405(c).

The Office of Inspections (OISP) requests the status of corrective actions your Division implemented based on the results of your Highway Patrol Manual 22.1, Chapter 2, Command Evidence 2008 inspection; Highway Patrol Manual 22.1, Chapter 6, Command Overtime and Grant Management 2009 inspection; and Highway Patrol Manual 22.1, Chapter 8, Command Reimbursable Services and DUI Cost Recovery 2009 inspection. Normally, follow-up information is not requested on Division inspections of this type. However, the discrepancies noted in your Division inspections were required to be summarized in the Department's 2009 FISMA report; thus, a status report of the corrective actions is requested by June 29, 2010, to the OISP. Using your response and that of other Divisions, the OISP will prepare a Department wide follow-up report to DOF to comply with Government Code Section 13405(c).

If you have any questions, please contact me or Captain Ernie Sanchez at (916) 843-3160.


M. C. A. SANTIAGO, CIG, CLEA
Assistant Commissioner

cc: Office of the Assistant Commissioner, Field
Office of Inspections, Audits Unit

Safety, Service, and Security